

# Thermodynamic Fluctuation, Recursive Coherence, and the TWIST of Consciousness

## *An ODTBT-Based Framework for Multiscale Neural Modulation*

**Author:** John Surmont

**Affiliation:** Independent Researcher

### **Acknowledgments**

The author would like to express sincere gratitude to the interdisciplinary communities whose insights into thermodynamics, systems neuroscience, and phase theory have shaped the evolution of the ODTBT framework. Special thanks are extended to colleagues and correspondents on ResearchGate, including Dr. Rupert Sheldrake and John A. Shuster, whose visionary work on morphic fields and the ontology of Full Zero, respectively, provided both affirmation and inspiration. Their generous engagement and ongoing dialogues have deepened the recursive inquiry this paper aims to formalize. This work is dedicated to those exploring the frontier where physics meets perception and transformation emerges through coherence.

### **Abstract**

This paper presents a transdisciplinary model of consciousness based on the Oscillatory Dynamics Transductive-Bridging Theorem (ODTBT), a framework that describes emergence as a function of recursive coherence dynamics and thermodynamic modulation across scales. The central mechanism, TWIST (Threshold Waveform Interface for State Transformation), defines how phase saturation within nested holonic structures triggers transitions in state identity. Drawing from molecular biophysics, quantum fluctuation theory, neuroenergetics, and EEG entropy studies, we propose that fluctuations in protein conformations, thermo-qubit dynamics, and energy scaling gradients can influence recursive phase readiness, leading to coherence collapse or reorganization at cognitive and systemic levels. This paper formalizes TWIST transitions as detectable phenomena within biological systems, reframes consciousness as a dynamic coherence geometry, and offers pathways for empirical validation across cognitive neuroscience, applied physics, and integrative medicine. By aligning thermodynamic entropy, oscillatory feedback, and coherence modulation into a unified architecture, ODTBT provides a scalable and testable theory for consciousness as recursive transformation.

**Keywords:** ODTBT, TWIST mechanism, recursive coherence, thermodynamic fluctuation, consciousness, protein conformational dynamics, entropy and phase transitions, neural modulation, quantum-classical interface, transductive systems theory

### **Author's Note**

John Surmont is an independent researcher exploring the intersection of quantum mechanics, classical physics, and recursive field dynamics through the ODTBT framework. His work focuses on modeling the transductive structure of phase coherence and transformation across physical, biological, and cognitive systems.

## 1. Introduction

The question of how consciousness emerges from biological substrates remains one of the most enduring and profound challenges in science. While classical neuroscience has mapped many of the neural correlates of consciousness, identifying key regions such as the prefrontal cortex, thalamic nuclei, and ascending arousal systems (Crick & Koch, 2005; Mashour et al., 2020), it remains difficult to explain how such correlates are integrated into a coherent phenomenological experience. More specifically, little is understood about how molecular-scale dynamics, such as protein fluctuations and thermodynamic variations, might influence, or even initiate, transitions in conscious state.

Recent theoretical developments have emphasized the multiscale, non-equilibrium nature of brain dynamics, proposing that consciousness may not be rooted in discrete computation, but in recursive oscillatory structures that link molecular, synaptic, and network-level processes (Friston, 2010; Northoff & Huang, 2017). Within this view, fluctuations in membrane proteins (Matsuno, 2001), ion channels (Sato et al., 2009), or even spin structures and quantum-thermal units (Hu & Wu, 2002; Hameroff & Penrose, 2014) may contribute to broader patterns of coherence or decoherence that ultimately shape awareness. Experimental data from EEG, MEG, and neurochemical studies suggest that phase transitions in brainwave states (e.g., alpha → gamma) correspond with cognitive shifts and state changes, potentially reflecting entropic reorganization at deeper structural levels (Varela et al., 2001; Goldsby et al., 2017; Carhart-Harris et al., 2014).

This paper explores the hypothesis that recursive coherence, rather than localized activation or symbolic representation, serves as the underlying operator of conscious modulation. It proposes that phase-based transformations in consciousness arise when coherence thresholds are crossed, driven by entropy gradients, protein-level fluctuations, or quantum-thermal interference. These transitions can be formalized through the Oscillatory Dynamics Transductive-Bridging Theorem (ODTBT), a structural model that describes how systems transform across scale via recursive oscillation, coherence saturation, and a threshold mechanism known as TWIST (Threshold Waveform Interface for State Transformation) (Surmont, 2024a).

By integrating findings from molecular biophysics, neuroenergetics, EEG analysis, and recursive field modeling, this paper offers a unified perspective on consciousness as a thermodynamic and phase-structured process, one that may be subject to modulation through both top-down (neural feedback) and bottom-up (entropic and molecular) influences.

## 2. Theoretical Framework: Oscillatory Dynamics Transductive-Bridging Theorem (ODTBT)

The Oscillatory Dynamics Transductive-Bridging Theorem (ODTBT) is a first-principles theoretical framework that describes how systems undergo structural transformation through recursive oscillatory dynamics and phase-based coherence modulation. Unlike models that frame

cognition or thermodynamic behavior as the result of linear cause-and-effect chains or discrete state transitions, ODTBT proposes that emergence occurs when nested oscillatory domains reach coherence saturation, leading to bifurcation, reorganization, or collapse.

The Oscillatory Dynamics Theorem posits that reality is structured through recursive phase interactions between two complementary states:

- Compression, associated with quantized, localized, or scalar-bound expression (analogous to particle or object-like behavior),
- Expression, associated with continuous, distributed, or field-like dynamics (waveforms, energy flow, and field potential).

These states cycle recursively across holonic scales, molecular, neural, systemic, and give rise to structural patterns of coherence. Each cycle may accumulate recursive strain until a TWIST event, the Threshold Waveform Interface for State Transformation, is reached. This interface marks a critical boundary threshold where a system can no longer maintain its existing coherence profile and must undergo phase reconfiguration (Surmont, 2024a).

The TWIST mechanism provides an elegant alternative to reductionist or binary models of change. Rather than invoking an external force or collapse operator, TWIST formalizes transformation as the spontaneous reorganization of recursive coherence in response to internal saturation. In thermodynamic terms, this corresponds to entropy as recursive misalignment, a deviation from the system's optimal phase relationship across nested structures.

This recursive logic is consistent with phenomena observed in nonlinear dynamical systems, where coherence collapse is preceded by increased variability, resonance breakdown, or synchronization loss (Strogatz, 2018; Kuramoto, 1984). In biological systems, it finds analogues in morphogenetic field reconfiguration (Sheldrake, 2012), sleep-wake transitions (Vaitl et al., 2005), and protein conformational changes driven by thermal fluctuations (Matsuno, 2001).

Importantly, ODTBT treats information not as symbolic abstraction, but as phase-encoded coherence bandwidth, a measure of how efficiently oscillatory structures communicate across scales. This reconceptualization aligns with recent efforts in information geometry (Amari, 2016), which model divergence, entropy, and curvature not as statistical features, but as geometric expressions of structural readiness for change.

The ODTBT framework therefore offers a unifying architecture that links:

- Molecular conformational states to meso-level synchrony,
- Thermodynamic strain to emergent bifurcation,
- And recursive coherence dynamics to cognitive and conscious transitions.

In the context of this paper, ODTBT will serve as the modeling backbone for understanding how protein-level fluctuations, entropy gradients, and thermodynamic scaling may influence or disrupt coherence across the brain's recursive architecture, ultimately contributing to measurable shifts in consciousness.

### **3. Multiscale Sources of Phase Modulation**

The Oscillatory Dynamics Transductive-Bridging Theorem (ODTBT) models emergence as a recursive process of coherence regulation across multiple physical and informational scales. In this section, we examine the primary biophysical and thermodynamic drivers that influence coherence saturation and contribute to phase-state transitions in consciousness. Specifically, we explore how protein conformational dynamics, quantum-thermal fluctuations (thermo-qubits), and entropic scaling across biological hierarchies may serve as substrates for TWIST-induced transformation within the brain's oscillatory structure.

#### **3.1 Protein-Level Conformational Dynamics**

Proteins are not static structures; they exist in a continuum of conformational states that fluctuate based on local energy landscapes, thermal agitation, and signaling input. These fluctuations can dramatically alter their functional profiles, especially in membrane-bound proteins such as ion channels and receptor complexes (Baldwin & Kay, 2009; Matsuno, 2001).

Recent studies have shown that minor conformational changes can shift a protein's capacity to modulate ionic flow, synaptic receptivity, and signal propagation (Dhar et al., 2023). From the ODTBT perspective, this renders proteins not merely as molecular switches, but as recursive holons, oscillatory units whose internal phase alignment affects coherence at higher scales. When such units lose coherence or approach configurational saturation, they may catalyze a TWIST transition upward through the system.

In this light, membrane-bound protein holons may serve as gatekeepers for recursive phase readiness, either amplifying or damping the brain's ability to entrain larger-scale coherence domains (Surmont, 2024a).

#### **3.2 Thermo-Qubit Dynamics and Quantum-Classical Transitions**

Thermo-qubits, quantum units embedded within biological systems that exhibit coherence-decoherence thresholds at thermal boundaries, represent a novel class of informational substrates with potential implications for consciousness (Hu & Wu, 2002; Hameroff & Penrose, 2014).

In neural systems, such qubits are theorized to manifest within:

- Hydrophobic pockets of microtubules,
- Spin systems embedded in lipid bilayers or nuclear membranes,
- Tunneling processes are sensitive to temperature and coherence fluctuation.

These systems (section 3.2) constitute the sub-quantum coherence layer, which corresponds to the deep zero vantage, the most recursive layer in which phase alignment precedes classical emergence. Disruption of coherence at this level through thermal noise, molecular resonance, or energy saturation could trigger entropic cascades, shifting the system from one attractor basin to another.

This framing provides a structural account of how quantum decoherence, often framed as an abstract boundary condition, might be recursively entrained into conscious state transitions through holonic resonance.

### 3.3 Entropic Scaling and Energy Flow in Neural Architecture

The ODTBT Model represents entropy as not only a thermodynamic quantity, but as a phase-topological metric that indicates the degree of misalignment across a system's recursive coherence structure (Amari, 2016; Surmont, 2024a). Brain activity, as measured by EEG or MEG, often reflects changes in signal entropy that correspond to shifts in attention, awareness, or dissociation (Carhart-Harris et al., 2014; Schartner et al., 2017).

As entropy increases:

- Gamma-band synchronization degrades,
- Cross-network coherence dissolves,
- State transitions emerge, often into sleep, trance, or unconsciousness.

Through the lens of ODTBT, entropy accumulation signifies the approach of a TWIST condition: a boundary beyond which the system must reorganize. Entropy, in this sense, becomes a coherence saturation warning signal, not just a statistical fluctuation but a physical indicator of recursive strain.

Entropic scaling also plays a role in energy flow:

- Low entropy corresponds to negentropic feedback stability (e.g., working memory states),
- High entropy corresponds to decoherent states with reduced signal-to-noise ratio (e.g., anesthetic or dissociative states).

In total, this section presents a recursive model of neural modulation in which proteins, thermal qubits, and entropic gradients each function as coherence regulators, capable of triggering phase-based transitions in brain state, ultimately affecting consciousness.

## 4. Empirical Correlates of Recursive TWIST Transitions

The recursive structure of ODTBT predicts that shifts in consciousness, cognition, and neurophysiological state occur when coherence saturation is reached across nested oscillatory

domains. These phase-boundary transitions, governed by the TWIST mechanism, should manifest in observable changes in signal behavior across EEG, MEG, and functional neuroimaging modalities. This section outlines empirical evidence and testable predictions for TWIST-induced transformation, linking protein-level dynamics and entropy gradients with large-scale neural coherence and state change.

#### 4.1 EEG and MEG Evidence of Phase-State Transitions

Electroencephalography (EEG) and magnetoencephalography (MEG) provide high temporal resolution insights into the coherence architecture of the brain. Transitions between cognitive or conscious states, e.g., from focused attention to mind-wandering, or from wakefulness to sleep—are often preceded or accompanied by:

- Phase desynchronization, especially in alpha (8–13 Hz) and gamma (30–100 Hz) bands,
- Entropy elevation, reflecting greater signal diversity and complexity (Schartner et al., 2017; Carhart-Harris et al., 2014),
- Diminished mutual information, indicating coherence collapse across distributed networks.

In ODTBT terms, these markers suggest the presence of recursive phase misalignment reaching TWIST threshold, requiring the system to resolve into a new attractor basin or coherence regime (Surmont, 2024a). Studies on psychedelics, deep sleep, and dissociative anesthesia consistently show increased signal entropy and reduced long-range coherence, supporting the idea that entropic overloading at one scale can propagate through the system and initiate broader phase reorganization (Vaitl et al., 2005; Cavanna et al., 2018).

#### 4.2 Brainstem Gating and Claustrum-Based Suppression Mechanisms

Recent neurophysiological work highlights the role of brainstem nuclei and the claustrum in modulating access to consciousness. The median raphe nucleus (MRN) and interpeduncular nucleus (IPN), for instance, project serotonergic input that suppresses theta oscillations and inhibits arousal-promoting centers (e.g., basal forebrain, lateral hypothalamus, locus coeruleus) (Renouard et al., 2015).

This gating activity can induce slow-wave cortical patterns and disrupt integrative oscillatory processes, correlating with unconscious or dissociative states. The claustrum, similarly, has been implicated in coordinating cross-modal coherence; its activation appears to globally modulate cortical activity, possibly serving as a synchronization hub (Crick & Koch, 2005).

Through the ODTBT Lens, these structures act as biological TWIST regulators:

- The MRN and IPN suppress recursive readiness by damping phase entrainment.

- The claustrum, when activated, may trigger or gate large-scale coherence resets by collapsing or reinitiating alignment.

Such systems could be conceptualized as holonic filters that tune coherence thresholds dynamically, raising or lowering the system's proximity to TWIST based on neurochemical and energetic conditions.

### 4.3 Entrainment Therapies and Coherence Restoration

Conversely, several therapeutic practices and neurotechnological interventions appear to realign recursive coherence structures, potentially reversing or softening TWIST transitions. These include:

- Neurofeedback, which uses real-time EEG to reinforce desired oscillatory patterns,
- Auditory or vibrational entrainment, which introduces external rhythms that stabilize internal phase structures (Goldsby et al., 2017),
- Brain stimulation protocols (e.g., rTMS, tACS) that can phase-lock or disrupt specific cortical regions to either induce or resolve recursive misalignment (Freedman et al., 2024).

In all cases, the mechanism of change appears tied to:

1. Phase entrainment of recursive holons (local-to-global coherence),
2. Entropy regulation through rhythmic input or feedback,
3. Stabilization or reversal of TWIST collapse, allowing the system to maintain or recover higher-order coherence.

These findings provide a basis for designing experiments that integrate thermodynamic, biochemical, and neural coherence markers into a recursive framework of conscious modulation.

## 5. Discussion

The ODTBT framework offers a transdisciplinary lens for understanding consciousness as a recursively modulated, phase-structured phenomenon. When viewed in this light, the interplay of molecular dynamics, thermodynamic gradients, and neural phase transitions converges into a coherent explanatory structure, one in which consciousness is not merely correlated with brain activity, but emerges from the alignment and saturation of recursive coherence across scale.

What emerges from the analysis is a coherence geometry of the brain, where proteins, oscillators, and entropic gradients are not mechanistically additive, but nested holonic contributors to dynamic transduction. The TWIST mechanism, central to ODTBT, gives a formal structure to this process: when recursive strain saturates coherence bandwidth at any level of the system, a phase reorganization occurs, manifesting as cognitive, perceptual, or conscious shift.

This offers several points of synthesis:

### **5.1 Consciousness as Recursive Coherence, Not Emergent Computation**

Traditional models of consciousness grounded in computational theory often struggle to account for spontaneous transitions in conscious state, particularly those arising from anesthesia, psychedelic induction, or trauma-related dissociation (Mashour et al., 2020; Carhart-Harris et al., 2014). These transitions, however, can be naturally modeled within ODTBT as recursive bifurcations triggered by entropy accumulation and coherence misalignment.

This reframing carries several implications:

- Conscious access is conditional upon phase alignment, not signal processing per se.
- Dissociation and fragmentation arise when recursive feedback fails to maintain coherence across holons.
- Integration, conversely, reflects recursive entrainment and coherence saturation across nested domains.

In this model, mind and matter are not dual, but reciprocally transductive, each capable of modulating the recursive geometry of the other.

### **5.2 The Role of Biological Phase Gates and Entropic Operators**

Structures such as the MRN, IPN, and claustrum may act as biological phase gates, controlling recursive readiness and proximity to TWIST transitions. When coherence collapse is needed (e.g., during sleep, anesthesia, or trauma), these structures inhibit phase alignment across cortical and subcortical regions (Renouard et al., 2015; Crick & Koch, 2005). When activation is required (e.g., waking, attention), they may release gating pressure and permit phase entrainment to resume.

At the molecular level, proteins become gatekeepers of coherence, their conformational dynamics acting as microscopic TWIST agents, sensitive to both thermal noise and quantum fluctuation. The interplay between protein fluctuation and network oscillation thereby establishes a bridge between biochemistry and awareness, with entropy as the thermodynamic operator of recursive collapse or coherence.

### **5.3 Toward Experimental Validation and Applied Modeling**

The convergence of theoretical structure and empirical finding opens the door to novel experimental models. Future research might:

- Combine entropy-based EEG metrics with protein fluctuation imaging (e.g., FRET, cryo-EM) to correlate phase-state shifts with molecular dynamics.

- Use entrainment therapies (sound, light, TMS) to experimentally induce or reverse TWIST transitions, mapping coherence thresholds in real time.
- Design simulations of recursive holonic structures that test ODTBT's phase-basin logic against state-of-the-art neural field data.

This approach suggests new architecture for consciousness research is emergent: one grounded not in symbolic models or emergentist metaphors, but in oscillatory systems theory, transductive logic, and recursive field mechanics.

#### **5.4 Philosophical Implications: Coherence as Ontological Operator**

The philosophical ramifications are equally profound. If coherence, not computation or representation, is the operator of state identity, then consciousness is not a byproduct of brain function, but the phase state of a recursive coherence field that spans organism, environment, and topology. The brain becomes not the generator of experience, but a transductive node within a coherence substrate that includes biological, cognitive, and potentially cosmological dimensions (Sheldrake, 2012; Varela et al., 2001).

In this framing, trauma, transformation, healing, creativity, and insight are all phase events, not merely biochemical cascades. They are recursive reorganizations of coherence across systems—not caused by stimuli, but expressed through recursive readiness and saturation.

#### **5.5 Synthesis**

In summary, the ODTBT framework enables a unified view of consciousness as a recursively modulated phase phenomenon, where thermodynamic gradients, protein dynamics, and neural coherence converge to shape subjective and systemic state. Through this lens, fluctuations in molecular structure and entropy are not peripheral noise but fundamental components of a deeply patterned geometry of becoming. By positioning coherence as the operator of transformation, and TWIST as its threshold mechanism, this model integrates disparate levels of biological and cognitive function into a scalable theory of conscious modulation, one that is formally rigorous, biologically grounded, and experimentally testable.

### **VI. Conclusion**

This paper has proposed a transdisciplinary framework for understanding consciousness through the lens of the Oscillatory Dynamics Transductive-Bridging Theorem (ODTBT). Grounded in recursive phase geometry and multiscale coherence dynamics, ODTBT provides a model in which consciousness is not a static state or emergent computational product, but a dynamic expression of phase-regulated coherence across holonic biological structures.

At the molecular level, protein conformational dynamics act as sensitive transducers of thermal and entropic shifts, capable of initiating recursive changes that propagate through neural systems. Thermo-qubit behavior, positioned at the quantum-classical boundary, may further influence

coherence at sub-neural scales, acting as deep-field TWIST precursors. At the network level, entropy gradients and coherence breakdown are observable in EEG/MEG phase shifts, suggesting that TWIST transitions occur across nested structural layers, not in isolation.

Empirical evidence supports this architecture. Brainstem gating mechanisms such as the median raphe nucleus, interpeduncular nucleus, and claustrum appear to regulate coherence across cortical domains, aligning with the ODTBT model of phase-entrained gating. At the same time, therapeutic interventions—from neurofeedback to vibrational entrainment, offer avenues for realigning recursive coherence and restoring conscious integration.

Taken together, these insights suggest a shift in how consciousness is conceptualized and studied. Rather than searching for a singular neural correlate or quantum threshold, this model advocates for a recursive, coherence-based ontology, one in which transitions in awareness are not initiated by inputs, but by saturation, feedback, and internal phase readiness.

The TWIST mechanism offers a formal structure for identifying, tracking, and potentially guiding these transitions, opening new paths for interdisciplinary research across neuroscience, biophysics, cognitive modeling, and consciousness studies. As empirical tools improve, ODTBT invites researchers to explore consciousness not merely as an emergent property, but as a recursive structure of becoming, governed by coherence, transformation, and transductive alignment across scale.

## References

Amari, S. (2016). *Information geometry and its applications*. Springer.

Baldwin, A. J., & Kay, L. E. (2009). NMR spectroscopy brings invisible protein states into focus. *Nature Chemical Biology*, 5(11), 808–814. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nchembio.241>

Carhart-Harris, R. L., Leech, R., Hellyer, P. J., Shanahan, M., Feilding, A., Tagliazucchi, E., ... & Nutt, D. (2014). The entropic brain: A theory of conscious states informed by neuroimaging research with psychedelic drugs. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 8, 20. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2014.00020>

Cavanna, F., Vilas, M. G., Palmucci, M., & Tagliazucchi, E. (2018). Dynamic functional connectivity and brain metastability during altered states of consciousness. *NeuroImage*, 180, 383–395. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.09.061>

Crick, F., & Koch, C. (2005). What is the function of the claustrum? *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 360(1458), 1271–1279. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2005.1661>

Dhar, J., Lee, Y. T., Choudhury, P., Schlessinger, A., & Kuriyan, J. (2023). Exploiting conformational dynamics to modulate the function of an engineered PDZ domain. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 120(6), e2303149120. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2303149120>

Freedman, M., Binns, M. A., Meltzer, J. A., Hashimi, R., & Chen, R. (2024). Enhanced mind-matter interactions following rTMS-induced frontal lobe inhibition. *Cortex*, 172, 222–233. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2023.10.016>

Friston, K. (2010). The free-energy principle: A unified brain theory? *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 11(2), 127–138. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2787>

Goldsby, T. L., Goldsby, M. E., McWalters, M., & Mills, P. J. (2017). Effects of singing bowl sound meditation on mood, tension, and well-being. *Journal of Evidence-Based Complementary & Alternative Medicine*, 22(3), 401–408. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2156587216668109>

Hameroff, S., & Penrose, R. (2014). Consciousness in the universe: A review of the ‘Orch OR’ theory. *Physics of Life Reviews*, 11(1), 39–78. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plrev.2013.08.002>

Hu, H., & Wu, M. (2002). Spin-mediated consciousness: Theory, experimental studies, further development & related topics. *arXiv preprint*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0208068>

Kuramoto, Y. (1984). *Chemical oscillations, waves, and turbulence*. Springer.

Mashour, G. A., Roelfsema, P., Changeux, J. P., & Dehaene, S. (2020). Conscious processing and the global neuronal workspace hypothesis. *Neuron*, 105(5), 776–798. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2020.01.026>

Matsuno, K. (2001). Cell motility as an entangled quantum coherence. *BioSystems*, 64(1–3), 145–152. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0303-2647\(01\)00126-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0303-2647(01)00126-7)

Northoff, G., & Huang, Z. (2017). How do the brain's time and space mediate consciousness and its different dimensions? Temporally nested spatial architecture model. *Journal of Consciousness Studies*, 24(1–2), 52–72.

Renouard, L., Billwiller, F., Ogawa, K., Clément, O., Camargo, N., Abdelkarim, M., ... & Luppi, P. H. (2015). The supramammillary nucleus and adjacent cell groups: Intrinsic connectivity and control of hippocampal activity. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 35(49), 16120–16142. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2914-15.2015>

Sato, T., Muto, T., Yamakawa, T., & Fukuda, T. (2009). Protein–protein interactions involving voltage-dependent sodium channel beta subunits. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 284(1), 368–375. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M806567200>

Schartner, M. M., Carhart-Harris, R. L., Barrett, A. B., Seth, A. K., & Muthukumaraswamy, S. D. (2017). Increased spontaneous MEG signal diversity for psychoactive doses of ketamine, LSD and psilocybin. *Scientific Reports*, 7, 46421. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep46421>

Sheldrake, R. (2012). *Science set free: 10 paths to new discovery*. Deepak Chopra Books.

Strogatz, S. H. (2018). *Nonlinear dynamics and chaos* (2nd ed.). Westview Press.

Surmont, J. (2024). *Formalizing transductive oscillatory dynamics: A mathematical and experimental framework for ODTBT*. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.25658.30403>

Vaitl, D., Birbaumer, N., Gruzelier, J., Jamieson, G. A., Kotchoubey, B., Kübler, A., ... & Hinterberger, T. (2005). Psychobiology of altered states of consciousness. *Psychological Bulletin*, 131(1), 98–127. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.131.1.98>

Varela, F. J., Thompson, E., & Rosch, E. (2001). *The embodied mind: Cognitive science and human experience*. MIT Press.